(1) (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 1169/2006

PETITIONER : S.R. Sen S/o. Late H.R. Sen (Deputy Ranger), (उप वन क्षेत्रपाल) Aged about-58 years, R/o. Behind Hanuman Mandir, Sanjay Nagar, Ward No.-3, Baloda Bazar, District- Raipur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Divisional Forest Officer, Raipur Forest Division Raipur (General). District- Raipur (C.G.).
3. Conservator of Forest, Raipur, District- Raipur (C.G.).
4. The Rang Officer, Baloda Bazar Range, (General), District- Raipur (C.G.).
5. The Deputy Ranger Officer, Rohausi, Baloda Bazar Range District- Raipur (C.G.).

R.R. No. 1077/06 Presented by Shri G.P. Tripathi dated 22/12/06

RECEIVED-COPY 27/12/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(20)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
Writ Petition No. 1169 of 2006

Petitioner : S.R. Sen

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri Pushpendra Patel, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sushil Dubey, Government Advocate for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER

(25th March, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made two representations dated 2.1.2006 (Annexure P/2) and 7.2.2006 (Annexure P/3) to the respondent No. 2 for compliance of the order dated 7.12.2005 (Annexure P/1) passed by the respondent No. 2.

2. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent No. 2 has not paid any heed to his representations and despite the order dated 7.12.2005, the petitioner has not been permitted to take the charge as Assistant Deputy Ranger, Office Rohausi, Baloda Bazar Range, to which learned counsel for the respondents have no objection.

3. The respondent No. 2 is directed to pass order on the representations dated 2.1.2006 (Annexure P/2) and 7.2.2006 (Annexure P/3) to ensure the compliance of the order dated 7.12.2005.

4. In view of the foregoing, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu